arXiv:hep-ph/9804457v1 30 Apr 1998

OCHA-PP-117

Baryon asymmetry and neutron EDM *

Mayumi Aoki[1†] , Noriyuki Oshimo[2], and Akio Sugamoto[3]

[1] *Graduate School of Humanities and Sciences*
Ochanomizu University, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan

[2] *Institute for Cosmic Ray Research*
University of Tokyo, Tanashi, Tokyo 188-0002, Japan

[3] *Department of Physics*
Ochanomizu University, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan

Abstract

Baryon asymmetry of the universe can be generated by new sources of CP violation intrinsic in supersymmetric extension of the standard model. This scenario predicts the neutron electric dipole moment to be not much smaller than its present experimental upper bound.

*To be published in the Proceedings of the International Workshop on JHF Science (JHF98) held at KEK from March 3 to March 7.

†Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

arXiv:hep-ph/9804457v1 30 Apr 1998

Baryon asymmetry and neutron EDM

Mayumi Aoki[1], Noriyuki Oshimo[2], and Akio Sugamoto[3]

[1] *Graduate School of Humanities and Sciences*
Ochanomizu University, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan

[2] *Institute for Cosmic Ray Research*
University of Tokyo, Tanashi, Tokyo 188-0002, Japan

[3] *Department of Physics*
Ochanomizu University, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan

A non-vanishing value of the neutron EDM (electric dipole moment) is an unambiguous sign of CP violation. The interaction Hamiltonian for the EDM and the MDM (magnetic dipole moment) is written by $H_{int} = -\mu_n \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{H} - d_n \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E}$, where the transformation properties of the spin $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, the magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$, and the electric field $\mathbf{E}$ under P (parity: $\mathbf{x} \to -\mathbf{x}$) and T (time reversal: $t \to -t$)) transformations are $(+,+,-)$ and $(-,-,+)$, respectively. The different properties between $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ under P and T come from the Maxwell equation rot$\mathbf{E} = -\dot{\mathbf{H}}$. We can see that the MDM operator does not break P and T, but the EDM operator breaks both.

Baryon asymmetry of our universe implies CP violation. The number of baryons in the universe dominates over that of anti-baryons. This asymmetry is measured by the ratio of the baryon number density n_B to the photon number density n_γ, which can be estimated as follows: We have galaxies separated by a mean distance of 6 Mpc $= 1.8 \times 10^{25}$cm, whose masses are roughly given by 3×10^{11} times the solar mass 2×10^{33}g. This gives $n_B \sim 10^{-8}/\text{cm}^3$. We have cosmic background radiation of 2.7 K, from which the Stephan-Boltzmann formula gives $n_\gamma \sim 400/\text{cm}^3$. Therefore, a very very rough estimate is given by $n_B/n_\gamma \sim 10^{-10}$. To generate baryon asymmetry from a baryon symmetric state at a high temperature, we need different reaction rates between particles and anti-particles, which is realized by CP violation.

Always we feel frustration at the smallness of CP violation in the Standard Model (SM). The neutron EDM vanishes exactly at the one- and two-loop levels. Its value is predicted as $|d_n|_{SM} < 10^{-31} e{\cdot}$cm, which is much smaller than the present experimental upper bound of $1.2 \times 10^{-25} e{\cdot}$cm. Possibly generated baryon asymmetry is at most $n_B/n_\gamma \sim 10^{-25}$, which is much smaller than the observed value. Here, the asymmetry suffers from severe suppression by mass differences of quarks

$\prod_{1\le i\ne j\le 3}(m_{u_i}^2 - m_{u_j}^2)(m_{d_i}^2 - m_{d_j}^2)/(100\ \mathrm{GeV})^{12} \sim 10^{-18}$, since no CP violation occurs in the SM when two quarks have the same mass (GIM cancellation). A new source of CP violation is necessary to generate baryon asymmetry.

A hope of baryogenesis is in a supersymmetric (SUSY) extension of the SM, which is one of the most plausible candidates for physics beyond the SM. In SUSY models, spin 0 particles corresponding to quarks exist, called squarks. For each flavor, there are two types of squarks, L and R, which are the superpartners of the left-handed and right-handed quarks, respectively. Spin 1/2 particles, called gauginos and Higgsinos, also appear as superpartners of gauge and Higgs bosons. The number of Higgs doublets is two. The mass matrices of these SUSY particles could be complex, and thus become new sources of CP violation.

At the electroweak scale, supersymmetry does not hold rigorously, since SUSY particles have not been observed yet, indicating their masses heavier than their partners. In order for supersymmetry to be broken softly without loosing good features for divergence, supersymmetry breaking terms should have dimensional coefficients. Possible such soft-breaking terms are gaugino mass terms, squark and slepton mass-squared terms, scalar three-point couplings, and scalar two-point couplings. We assume that the three gaugino masses $\tilde{m}_3$, $\tilde{m}_2$, and $\tilde{m}_1$ for SU(3), SU(2), and U(1) gauge groups are unified at the energy scale of around 10^{16} GeV, according to the plausible unification of the coupling constants. Since $\tilde{m}_i/\alpha_i$ is renormalization group invariant, $\tilde{m}_3 : \tilde{m}_2 : \tilde{m}_1 = \alpha_3 : \alpha_2 : (5/3)\alpha_1 \simeq 9 : 3 : 1$ at the electroweak scale. The squarks are also assumed to have the same mass at the unification scale. Then at the electroweak scale, the squark masses of the first two generations are not much different from each other, typically denoted by $\tilde{M}$.

Gauginos for SU(2)×U(1) and Higgsinos are mixed within charged and neutral sectors, resulting in mass eigenstates, called charginos and neutralinos. The mass matrix for charginos is given by

$$M^- = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{m}_2 & -gv_1^*/\sqrt{2} \\ -gv_2^*/\sqrt{2} & m_H \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where v_1 and v_2 stand for the vacuum expectation values of the Higgs bosons, and m_H for the Higgsino mass parameter. The down-type quarks and up-type quarks are respectively given masses from v_1 and v_2, while $\sqrt{|v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2}$ being 246 GeV determined from the W-boson mass. The diagonal (1,1) and (2,2) elements come from, respectively, the soft-breaking gaugino mass term and the mixing term of the Higgs superfields in superpotential. The off-diagonal (1,2) and (2,1) elements are due

to the supersymmetrization of the charged current interactions for Higgs bosons, in which the W and charged Higgs bosons are replaced by the charged gauginos and Higgsinos, and the neutral Higgs bosons by vacuum expectation values. The mass matrix for neutralinos is given by 4×4 matrix, which consists of $\tilde{m}_1$ and the same parameters as for the charginos.

The four parameters $\tilde{m}_2$, v_1, v_2, and m_H in Eq. (1) have complex values in general. Although the first three parameters can be made real and positive by rephasing fermion fields, the complex phase θ of m_H remains physical, which is one origin of CP violation in SUSY models.

The squarks L and R are mixed in each flavor through the Yukawa couplings in superpotential and the soft-breaking three-point couplings, where the neutral Higgs bosons are replaced by their vacuum expectation values. The coefficient A of the scalar three-point couplings is generally complex and cannot be made real, since we have no further rephasing freedom for squarks after the phases of quarks and gauginos are fixed. The complex phase α of A is another source of CP violation. Note that squark mixings among different generations are not necessary for squark mass-squared matrices to induce CP violation. We have now two peculiar CP phases α and θ in SUSY models.

The neutron EDM is induced by one-loop diagrams in which the charginos, neutralinos, or gluinos are exchanged together with squarks [1,2,3]. The chirality flip of the quark by the EDM operator is embodied by the gaugino and Higgsino mixings or the L- and R-squark mixings, both of which violate CP. If the CP violating phase θ is not so small, $\theta \gtrsim 0.1$, then the chargino-loop diagrams dominantly contribute to the neutron EDM, predicting its magnitude to be around the present experimental bound, $|d_n| \lesssim 1 \times 10^{-25} e\cdot$cm. The squark masses are constrained as $\tilde{M} \gtrsim 1$ TeV [1]. On the other hand, if θ is small but α is not suppressed, $\theta \ll 1$, $\alpha \sim 1$, then the gluino-loop diagrams dominate. The neutron EDM around the experimental bound is again predicted, although the constraint on the squark masses are relaxed as $\tilde{M} \gtrsim 100$ GeV for $\tilde{m}_2 \gtrsim 500$ GeV [3]. The phase θ also induces the EDM of the W boson at the one-loop level, leading to the neutron EDM at the two loop level. If θ is not suppressed, the neutron EDM can be as large as of order $10^{-26} e\cdot$cm, irrespectively of the squark masses [4].

The same new sources of CP violation in SUSY models can generate baryon asymmetry [2,3]. When the universe cools down to 100 or 200 GeV, electroweak phase transition occurs. If it is first order, bubbles of the broken phase nucleate.

The Higgs potential $V_T(v)$ have a bump ($V_T > 0$) separating unbroken ($V_T = 0$) and broken ($V_T < 0$) vacua. To have a quasi-stable bubble, sufficient surface tension is required at the bubble wall, where the potential takes a bump value. The Higgs vacuum expectation value is proportional to the masses of fermions, so that the change of v from the unbroken vacuum through the wall to the broken vacuum forms a kind of potential barrier, giving as well position-dependent CP violation. Consequently, for instance, a reflection by the "potential barrier" of a right-handed gaugino to a left-handed Higgsino and its CP conjugate reflection have different rates, producing Y (hypercharge) flux into the unbroken phase, since gauginos and Higgsinos have different values of Y. Then, the net Y density in the unbroken phase induces a chemical potential for the baryon number B (pressure to increase B). Under this pressure the sphaleron transition works and generates B in the unbroken phase through electroweak anomaly.

We have obtained the following results [2,3]: If the CP phase θ is not suppressed, $\theta \gtrsim 0.1$, the charginos can mediate baryogenesis, giving $n_B/s = 10^{-10} - 10^{-11}$. Here entropy density s ("massless degree of freedom" per unit volume) is used instead of n_γ, since entropy is preserved under the adiabatic expansion of the universe. For $\theta \ll 1$, $\alpha \sim 1$, the top squarks can mediate baryogenesis, resulting $n_B/s = 10^{-10} - 10^{-11}$. For these parameter values, the neutron EDM is predicted to have a large magnitude. Roughly speaking, $n_B/s = 10^{-10} - 10^{-11}$ corresponds to $|d_n| = 10^{-24} - 10^{-26} e\cdot$cm. Therefore, the SUSY models explaining properly the baryon asymmetry of our universe predict $|d_n| = 10^{-26} - 10^{-25} e\cdot$cm.

The predicted values of the neutron EDM are around the present experimental upper bound. These values can be examined by improvement of the experiment by only one order of magnitude. Such an improvement will not be so difficult for ultra cold neutron experiments [5]. We are anxiously awaiting our experimental colleagues to accomplish the task.

References

[1] Y. Kizukuri and N. Oshimo, *Phys. Rev.* D45 (1992) 1806, *ibid*. D46 (1992) 3025.

[2] M. Aoki, N. Oshimo, and A. Sugamoto, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 98 (1997) 1179.

[3] M. Aoki, A. Sugamoto, and N. Oshimo, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 98 (1997) 1325.

[4] T. Kadoyoshi and N. Oshimo, *Phys. Rev.* D55 (1997) 1481.

[5] H. Yoshiki et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 68 (1992) 1323.